



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>G07D 7/00</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/30422</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 21 August 1997 (21.08.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US97/02301 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 14 February 1997 (14.02.97) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 60/011,688      15 February 1996 (15.02.96)      US 60/013,121      11 March 1996 (11.03.96)      US 60/018,563      29 May 1996 (29.05.96)      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. [US/US]; 891 Feehanville Drive, Mount Prospect, IL 60056 (US). <b>(72) Inventors:</b> MENNIE, Douglas, U.; 229 Wood Street, Barrington, IL 60010 (US). JONES, William, J.; 631 Brier, Kenilworth, IL 60043 (US). WEGGESSER, John, F.; 1187 Heavens Gate, Lake in the Hills, IL 60102 (US). <b>(74) Agent:</b> RUDISILL, Stephen, G.; Arnold, White & Durkee, P.O. Box 4433, Houston, TX 77210 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
<b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>A currency discriminating apparatus comprising an input receptacle (2204) for receiving a stack of currency bills and a transport mechanism for transporting the bills, one at a time, past a discriminating unit (2206) to at least one output receptacle (1217). Each of the bills has a denomination associated therewith. The discriminating unit discriminates the denomination of the currency bills using a plurality of magnetoresistive sensors (364, 366, 374). Alternatively, a currency evaluation system that discriminates and authenticates bills based on a plurality of retrieved characteristics. Alternatively, a currency evaluation system discriminating and sorting bills based on series.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> </div>		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Briefly, according to one embodiment a method and apparatus for denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations is provided. According to one embodiment apparatus comprises an input receptacle for receiving a stack of currency bills, each of the bills having a  
5 denomination associated therewith. The apparatus also comprises a transport mechanism for transporting said bills, one at a time, past a discriminating unit to at least one output receptacle. The discriminating unit discriminates the denomination of the currency bills. The discriminating unit according to one embodiment comprises a plurality of magnetoresistive sensors.

10 According to another embodiment, methods and apparatuses are provided for discriminating and authenticating currency bills based on a variety of characteristic information. A plurality of characteristic information is utilized in various combinations to discriminate and/or authenticate bills. For example, a method comprises the steps of retrieving first and second characteristic information from a  
15 currency bill and denominating the currency bill a first time as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using the first characteristic information. This is accomplished by comparing the retrieved first characteristic information to master first characteristic information associated with each of the plurality of recognizable denominations. Then the currency bill is authenticated by comparing the retrieved  
20 second characteristic information to master second characteristic information associated only with the denomination determined by the first denominating step. The bill is rejected if the retrieved second characteristic information does not sufficiently match the master characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by the first denominating step. Otherwise, the bill is denominating a second time if the  
25 retrieved second characteristic information sufficiently matches the master characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by the first denominating step by comparing the retrieved second characteristic information to

master second characteristic information associated with each of the plurality of recognizable denominations and determining the denomination of the currency bill to be the denomination associated with the master second characteristic information which most closely agrees with the retrieved second characteristic information. The bill is  
5 accepted if the denomination as determined during the second denominating step matches the denomination as determined during the first denominating step. Otherwise, the bill is rejected if the denomination as determined during the second denominating step does not match the denomination as determined during the first denominating step.

10        Additionally methods and apparatuses are provided for discriminating between and sorting currency bills of different series. For example, the operator of a device having one embodiment of the present invention may designate 1996-series \$100 bills to be off-sorted from a stack of U.S. currency bills having a plurality of series-types (e.g., 1996- series U.S. bills and one or more pre-1996 series U.S. bills). When a  
15 stack of currency bills is subsequently processed by the currency discriminator, the discriminator proceeds to process all bills in the stack until it encounters the first 1996-series \$100 bill. The discriminator then halts operation with the first 1996-series \$100 bill being the last bill deposited in the output receptacle of the discriminator. The operator may then remove all the bills in the output receptacle and separate the 1996-  
20 series \$100 bill from the other bills. The currency discriminator may restart automatically when all the bills in the output receptacle are removed or alternatively, the discriminator may be designed to require the selection of a continuation key. The discriminator then continues to process the remaining bills until it encounters the first non-1996-series \$100 bill. Upon encountering the first non-1996-series \$100 bill, the  
25 discriminator halts operation with the non-1996-series \$100 bill being the last bill deposited in the output receptacle. The operator may then remove all the bills in the output receptacle, separate the non-1996-series \$100 bill from the preceding 1996-series \$100 bills, and place the bills in appropriate stacks. The discriminator then proceeds processing the remaining bills, now halting upon encountering the first 1996-  
30 series \$100 bill. The operation proceeds as above with the discriminator toggling between halting upon detecting the first bill not of the designated series and the first

bill of the designated series. In this way, the operator may conveniently separate a designated series from bills having a plurality of series. Likewise the above operation may be repeated with the remaining bills to sort out a different series of bills. The above sorting operation is particularly suited for sorting bills in a stack wherein like  
5 series bills are grouped together.

The above sorting operation is particularly useful when employed with a currency discriminator having a single output receptacle. Nonetheless, the above sorting operation may be performed on multi-output receptacle discriminators as well, e.g., in a two output pocket discriminator wherein one pocket is dedicated to a specific  
10 purpose such as collecting suspect or unrecognized documents.

Alternatively, in a multi-output receptacle discriminator, bills of a designated series are delivered to a first output receptacle and bills of one or more non-designated series are delivered to a second output receptacle. Alternatively, in a multi-output receptacle discriminator, bills of different series are delivered to different output  
15 receptacles, each output receptacle receiving bills of a specified series or a specified series and denomination.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Other objects and advantages of the invention will become apparent upon reading the following detailed description in conjunction with the drawings in which:

20 FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a currency scanning and counting machine embodying the present invention;

FIG. 2a is a functional block diagram illustrating another embodiment of a document authenticator and discriminator according to the present invention;

25 FIG. 2b is a functional block diagram illustrating another embodiment of a document authenticator and discriminator according to the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a top view of a bill and size determining sensors according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a side elevation of a multiple scanhead arrangement according to one embodiment of the present invention;

30 FIG. 5 is a side elevation of a multiple scanhead arrangement according to another embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6a is a side view of one embodiment of a document authenticating system according to the present invention;

FIG. 6b is a top view of the embodiment of FIG. 6a along the direction 18B;

FIG. 6c is a top view of the embodiment of FIG. 6a along the direction 18C;

5      FIG. 7 is a top view of thread sensors of a document discriminating/authenticating system;

FIGs. 8a and 8b are top views of U.S. currency illustrating the location of various magnetic features;

10      FIGs. 9a and 9b are top views of U.S. currency illustrating various scanning areas according to an embodiment;

FIGs. 10a-10f are top views of sensor arrangements according to several embodiments of the present invention;

FIG. 11 is a top view of a sensor arrangement according to an embodiment of the present invention;

15      FIG. 12 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically determining the denomination of a bill;

FIG. 13 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the denomination of a bill based on the location of a security thread;

20      FIG. 14 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the denomination of a bill based on the fluorescent color of a security thread;

FIG. 15 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the denomination of a bill based on the location and fluorescent color of a security thread;

FIG. 16 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in magnetically determining the denomination of a bill;

25      FIG. 17 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically denominating a bill and authenticating the bill based on thread location and/or color information;

FIG. 18 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill based on thread location and/or color information and optically authenticating the bill;

30      FIG. 19 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically denominating a bill and magnetically authenticating the bill;

FIG. 20 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in magnetically denominating a bill and optically authenticating the bill;

FIG. 21 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill both optically and based on thread location and/or color information;

5        FIG. 22 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill both optically and magnetically;

FIG. 23 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill both magnetically and based on thread location and/or color information;

FIG. 24 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill  
10        optically, based on thread location and/or color information, and magnetically;

FIG. 25 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominating based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill is authenticated, then the bill is denominating again based on the second characteristic;

15        FIGs. 26-29 are flowcharts illustrating the steps performed in methods whereby a bill is denominating based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill fails the authentication test, then the bill is denominating again based on the second characteristic;

FIGs. 30-31 are flowcharts illustrating the steps performed in methods whereby  
20        a bill is denominating based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill is authenticated, then the bill is denominating again based on the second characteristic;

FIGs. 32 and 33 are flowcharts illustrating methods where for a bill to be accepted it is first denominating utilizing first characteristic information, then  
25        authenticated using second characteristic information, and finally authenticated again using third characteristic information;

FIG. 34 is a flowchart illustrating a method where for a bill to be accepted it is first denominating utilizing first characteristic information, then authenticated using second characteristic information, then denominating using the second characteristic  
30        information, and finally authenticated using third characteristic information; and

FIG. 35 is a flow chart illustrating the sequential procedure involved in a sorting operation according to an embodiment of the present invention.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE EMBODIMENTS**

While the embodiments below entail the scanning of currency bills, the system of the present invention is applicable to other documents as well. For example, the system of the present invention may be employed in conjunction with stock certificates, bonds, and postage and food stamps.

FIGs. 1 depicts a currency scanner having a single output receptacle 1217. FIGs. 2a-2b depict currency scanners having multiple output receptacles. The discriminator systems 2202, 2203 comprise input receptacles 2204, 2204' for receiving stacks of currency bills. Transport mechanisms defining transport paths (as represented by arrows M and M') transport the bills in the input receptacles, one at a time, past one or more sensors of an authenticating and discriminating units 2206, 2206'. Bills are then transported to one of a plurality of output receptacles 2208 (arrow N) or 2208' (arrows N', N''). Alternatively, in the embodiment depicted in FIG. 1, all bills are transported to a single output receptacle.

The discrimination systems of FIGs. 1-2 and those described below may process bills at speeds of the order of 800 to 1500 bills per minute, including speeds in excess of 800 and 1000 bills per minute according to various embodiments.

The authenticating and discriminating units may be designed to detect a variety of characteristic information from currency bills examples of which are described in more detail below. The authenticating and discriminating units may employ a variety of detection means such as magnetic, optical, electrical conductivity, and capacitive sensors. Use of such sensors is discussed in more detail below. For example, scanheads may employ a magnetoresistive sensor or a plurality of such sensors including an array of such sensors. Such a sensor or sensors may, for example, be used to detect magnetic flux. Additionally, one example of a sensor for denominating bills is the optical scanhead and scanning system described in detail in U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196. This system employs an optical scanhead to optically scan a segment of passing bills and thereby generate scanned reflectance patterns. These scanned patterns are compared to stored master patterns to determine the denomination of bills. The

system can conveniently be programmed to set a flag when a scanned pattern does not correspond to any of the master patterns. The identification of such a condition can be used to stop the bill transport drive motor for the mechanism. Since the optical encoder is tied to the rotational movement of the drive motor, synchronism can be maintained between pre- and post-stop conditions. Additionally, a bill meeting or failing to meet some other criteria, such as being identified to be a suspect bill, may be flagged in a similar manner by stopping the transport mechanism.

In one embodiment, where the authenticating and discriminating unit determines that a bill is a fake, the flagged bill is routed to a separate one of the output receptacles. The operation of the discriminator may or may not then be suspended. When a bill is not determined to be fake but for some reason the authenticating and discriminating unit 2206 is not able to identify the denomination of the bill, the no call bill may be transported one of the output receptacles. In one embodiment, no call bills are transported to a specific one of the output receptacles. In another embodiment, no calls are not delivered to a special separate output receptacle. The operation of the discriminator may or may not then be suspended. For example, in a two output pocket discriminator such as that of FIG. 2b, all bills may be transported to the same output receptacle regardless of whether they are determined to be suspect, no call, or properly identified. In this example, the operation of the discriminator may be suspended and an appropriate message displayed when a suspect or no call bill is encountered. Alternatively, suspect bills may be delivered to one of the output receptacles (i.e., a reject receptacle) and no calls and identified bills may be sent to the other output receptacle. In this example, the operation of the discriminator need not be suspended when a suspect bill is encountered but may be suspended when a no call bill is encountered. In another embodiment, no call bills are delivered to an output receptacle separate from the one or more output receptacles receiving identified bills. The operation of the discriminator need not be suspended until all the bills placed in the input receptacle have been processed.

If the operation is suspended at the time the no call bill is detected (or a bill is flagged based on some other criteria) and the operator determines that the no call bill (or other kind of flagged bill) is acceptable, the operator returns the bill to the output

receptacle from which it was removed (if it was removed) and selects a selection element (not shown) corresponding to the denomination of the flagged bill.

Appropriate counters (not shown) are incremented, the discriminator system 2202, 2203 resumes operation. On the other hand, if the operator determines that the flagged  
5 bill is unacceptable, the operator removes the bill without replacement from the output receptacle and selects a continuation element (not shown). The discriminator system 2203 resumes operation without incrementing the counters associated with the various denomination and/or the total value counters.

Alternatively, the operation of the discriminator need not be suspended when a  
10 no call is encountered but may be suspended when a suspect bill is detected so that the operator may remove any suspect bills from the discriminator. The value of any no call bills may then be added to the appropriate counters after the stack of bills has been processed through a reconciliation process. In an alternate embodiment, suspect and no call bills may be delivered to a specific one of the two output receptacles (i.e., a  
15 reject receptacle) and identified bills may be sent to the other output receptacle. Additionally, according to this embodiment, the operation of the discriminator may be suspended and an appropriate message displayed when a suspect or no call bill is encountered.

The authenticating and discriminating units described above may be employ  
20 sensors on one or both sides of the transport path so as to permit retrieval of characteristic information from one or both sides of passing bills. Likewise, certain sensors may be placed on one side of the transport path and other sensors may be placed on the opposite side. Still other sensors may be placed on both sides. Likewise for discrimination systems employing multiple scanheads or sensors on a given side of  
25 the bill transport path may employ a plurality of laterally displaced scanheads or sensors. These systems may be used, for example, to accommodate bills of non-uniform size and/or color.

To accommodate currencies having a variety of sizes, sensors may be added to determine the size of a bill to be scanned. For example, these sensors may be placed  
30 upstream of the scanheads to be described below. One embodiment of size determining sensors is illustrated in FIG. 3. Two leading/trailing edge sensors 62

detect the leading and trailing edges of a bill 64 as it passing along the transport path. These sensors in conjunction with an encoder may be used to determine the dimension of the bill along a direction parallel to the scan direction which in FIG. 3 is the narrow dimension (or width) of the bill 64. Additionally, two side edge sensors 66 are used to  
5 detect the dimension of a bill 64 transverse to the scan direction which in FIG. 3 is the wide dimension (or length) of the bill 64. While the sensors 62 and 66 of FIG. 3 are optical sensors, any means of determining the size of a bill may be employed. Once the size of a bill is determined, the potential identity of the bill is limited to those bills having the same size. Accordingly, the area to be scanned can be tailored to the area  
10 or areas best suited for identifying the denomination and country of origin of a bill having the measured dimensions.

To accommodate scanning in areas other than the central portion of a bill, multiple scanheads may be positioned next to each other. One embodiment of such a multiple scanhead system is depicted in FIG. 4. Multiple scanheads 72a-c and 72d-f  
15 are positioned next to each other along a direction lateral to the direction of bill movement. Such a system permits a bill 74 to be scanned along different segments. Multiple scanheads 72a-f are arranged on each side of the transport path, thus permitting both sides of a bill 74 to be scanned. Two-sided scanning may be used to permit bills to be fed into a currency discrimination system according to the present  
20 invention with either side face up. Master patterns generated by scanning genuine bills may be stored for segments on one or both sides. In the case where master patterns are stored from the scanning of only one side of a genuine bill, the patterns retrieved by scanning both sides of a bill under test may be compared to a master set of single-sided master patterns. In such a case, a pattern retrieved from one side of a bill under  
25 test should match one of the stored master patterns, while a pattern retrieved from the other side of the bill under test should not match one of the master patterns. Alternatively, master patterns may be stored for both sides of genuine bills. In such a two-sided system, a pattern retrieved by scanning one side of a bill under test should match with one of the master patterns of one side (Match 1) and a pattern retrieved  
30 from scanning the opposite side of a bill under test should match the master pattern associated with the opposite side of a genuine bill identified by Match 1.

Alternatively, in situations where the face orientation of a bill (i.e., whether a bill is "face up" or "face down") may be determined prior to or during characteristic pattern scanning, the number of comparisons may be reduced by limiting comparisons to patterns corresponding to the same side of a bill. That is, for example, when it is  
5 known that a bill is "face up", scanned patterns associated with scanheads above the transport path need only be compared to master patterns generated by scanning the "face" of genuine bills. The face orientation may be determinable in some situations by sensing the color of the surfaces of a bill. The implementation of color sensing is discussed in more detailed below.

10 According to the embodiment of FIG. 4, the bill transport mechanism operates in such a fashion that the central area C of a bill 74 is transported between central scanheads 72b and 72e. Scanheads 72a and 72c and likewise scanheads 72d and 72f are displaced the same distance from central scanheads 72b and 72e, respectively. By symmetrically arranging the scanheads about the central region of a bill, a bill may be  
15 scanned in either direction, e.g., top edge first (forward direction) or bottom edge first (reverse direction). Master patterns may be stored from the scanning of genuine bills in both the forward and reverse directions. While a symmetrical arrangement is preferred, it is not essential provided appropriate master patterns are stored for a non-symmetrical system. While FIG. 4 illustrates a system having three scanheads per  
20 side, any number of scanheads per side may be utilized. Likewise, it is not necessary that there be a scanhead positioned over the central region of a bill. For example, FIG. 5 illustrates another embodiment of the present invention permitting scanning or characteristic information retrieval from other locations.

In addition to size and scanned characteristic patterns, color may also be used to  
25 discriminate bills. For example, while all U.S. bills are printed in the same colors, e.g., a green side and a black side, bills from other countries often vary in color with the denomination of the bill. For example, a German 50 deutsche mark bill-type is brown in color while a German 100 deutsche mark bill-type is blue in color. Alternatively, color detection may be used to determine the face orientation of a bill,  
30 such as where the color of each side of a bill varies. For example, color detection may be used to determine the face orientation of U.S. bills by detecting whether or not the

"green" side of a U.S. bill is facing upwards. Separate color sensors may be added upstream of the scanheads described above. According to such an embodiment, color information may be used in addition to size information to preliminarily identify a bill.

Likewise, color information may be used to determine the face orientation of a bill  
5 which determination may be used to select upper or lower scanheads for scanning a bill accordingly or compare scanned patterns retrieved from upper scanheads with a set of master patterns generated by scanning a corresponding face while the scanned patterns retrieved from the lower scanheads are compared with a set of master patterns generated by scanning an opposing face. Alternatively, color sensing may be  
10 incorporated into the scanheads described above. Such color sensing may be achieved by, for example, incorporating color filters, colored light sources, and/or dichroic beamsplitters into the currency discrimination system of the present invention. Various color information acquisition techniques are described in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,841,358; 4,658,289; 4,716,456; 4,825,246; and 4,992,860.

15 While some of the embodiments discussed above entailed a system capable of identifying a plurality of bill-types, the system may be adapted to identify a bill under test as either belonging to a specific bill-type or not. For example, the system may be adapted to store master information associated with only a single bill-type such as a United Kingdom 5 pound bill. Such a system would identify bills under test which  
20 were United Kingdom 5 pound bills and would reject all other bill-types.

The scanheads of the present invention may be incorporated into a document identification system capable of identifying a variety of documents. For example, the system may be designed to accommodate a number of currencies from different countries.

25 Retrieved characteristic information can include reflected light properties such as reflected light intensity characteristics, light transmissivity properties, various magnetic properties of a bill, the presence of a security thread embedded within a bill, the color of a bill, the thickness or other dimension of a bill, etc. With regard to optical sensing, a variety of currency characteristics can be measured such as detection  
30 of density (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447), color (U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,490,846; 3,496,370; 3,480,785), size including length and width, thickness (U.S. Pat. No. 4,255,651), the

presence of a security thread (U.S. Pat. No. 5,151,607) and holes (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447), and patterns of reflectance and transmission (U.S. Pat. No. 3,496,370; 3,679,314; 3,870,629; 4,179,685), the detection of security threads and characteristics of security threads such as location, color (e.g., under normal and/or ultraviolet illumination), thread material construction, covert thread characteristics such as coatings, bar codes, microprinting, etc. Color detection techniques may employ color filters, colored lamps, and/or dichroic beamsplitters. Furthermore, optical sensing can be performed using ultraviolet light to detect reflected ultraviolet light and/or fluorescent light including detection of patterns of the same. Furthermore, optical sensing can be performed using infrared light including detection of patterns of the same.

Additionally, retrieved characteristic information can include magnetic characteristic information. A variety of currency characteristics can be measured using magnetic sensing. These include detection of locations of magnetic ink, detection of patterns of changes in magnetic flux (U.S. Pat. No. 3,280,974), patterns of vertical grid lines in the portrait area of bills (U.S. Pat. No. 3,870,629), the presence of a security thread (U.S. Pat. No. 5,151,607), thread location, thread metal content, thread material construction, thread magnetic characteristics, covert thread features such as coatings, bar codes, and microprinting, total amount of magnetizable material of a bill (U.S. Pat. No. 4,617,458), patterns from sensing the strength of magnetic fields along a bill (U.S. Pat. No. 4,593,184), and other patterns and counts from scanning different portions of the bill such as the area in which the denomination is written out (U.S. Pat. No. 4,356,473). Additionally, a magnetoresistive sensor or a plurality of such sensors including an array of magnetoresistive sensors may be employed to detect, for example, magnetic flux. Examples of magnetoresistive sensors are described in, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,119,025, 4,683,508, 4,413,296, 4,388,662, and 4,164,770. Another example of a magnetoresistive sensor that may be used is the Gradiometer available from NVE Nonvolatile Electronics, Inc., Eden Prairie, MN. Additionally, other types of magnetic sensors may be employed for detecting magnetic flux such as Hall effect sensors and flux gates.

In addition to magnetic and optical sensing, other techniques of detecting characteristic information of currency include electrical conductivity sensing, capacitive sensing (U.S. Pat. No. 5,122,754 [watermark, security thread]; 3,764,899 [thickness]; 3,815,021 [dielectric properties]; 5,151,607 [security thread]), and  
5 mechanical sensing (U.S. Pat. No. 4,381,447 [limpness]; 4,255,651 [thickness]).

According to other embodiments of the present invention, three or more types of characteristics are retrieved from bills to be processed. These multiple types of characteristic information are used in various ways as described below to authenticate and/or denominate bills. Given sensors may be employed to detect multiple types of  
10 characteristic information. For example, an optical sensor may be employed both to generate scanned optical patterns but also to detect the presence, location, and/or color of security threads.

Referring now to FIGs. 6a-6c, there is shown a side view of one embodiment of a document authenticating and discriminating system according to the present  
15 invention, a top view of the embodiment of FIG. 6a along the direction 18B, and a top view of the embodiment of FIG. 6a along the direction 18C, respectively. An ultraviolet ("UV") light source 422 illuminates a document 424. Depending upon the characteristics of the document, ultraviolet light may be reflected off the document and/or fluorescent light may be emitted from the document. A detection system 426 is  
20 positioned so as to receive any light reflected or emitted toward it but not to receive any UV light directly from the light source 422. The detection system 426 comprises a UV sensor 428, a fluorescence sensor 430, filters, and a plastic housing. The light source 422 and the detection system 426 are both mounted to a printed circuit board 432. The document 424 is transported in the direction indicated by arrow A by a  
25 transport system (not shown). The document is transported over a transport plate 434 which has a rectangular opening 436 in it to permit passage of light to and from the document. An ultraviolet filter filters out visible light and permits UV light to be transmitted and hence to strike UV sensor 428. Similarly, a visible light filter filters out UV light and permits visible light to be transmitted and hence to strike fluorescence  
30 sensor 430. According to one embodiment a bill is identified as suspect if it fails to

reflect a high level of ultraviolet light or emits more than a predetermined level of visible light.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, the determination of whether the level of UV reflected off a document is high or low is made by sampling the output of the UV sensor at a number of intervals, averaging the readings, and comparing the average level with the predetermined high/low threshold. Alternatively, a comparison may be made by measuring the amount of UV light reflected at a number of locations on the bill and comparing these measurements with those obtained from genuine bills. Alternatively, the output of one or more UV sensors may be processed to generate one or more patterns of reflected UV light and these patterns may be compared to the patterns generated by genuine bills. Such a pattern generation and comparison technique may be performed by modifying an optical pattern technique such as that disclosed in United States Pat. No. 5,295,196. In a similar manner, the presence of fluorescence may be performed by sampling the output of the fluorescence sensor at a number of intervals.

The UV and fluorescence authentication test may be incorporated into various document handlers such as currency counters and/or currency denomination discriminators such as that disclosed in connection with FIG. 15 and U.S. Patent No. 5,295,196 incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. Likewise, the magnetic authentication tests described above may likewise be incorporated in such counters and/or discriminators.

Upon a bill failing one or more of the above tests, an appropriate error message may be displayed such as "Suspect Document U--" for failure of the UV reflection test, "Suspect Document -F-" for failure of the fluorescent test, "Suspect Document --M" for failure of the magnetic test, or some combination thereof when more than one test is failed (e.g., "Suspect Document UF-" for failure of both the UV reflection test and the fluorescent test).

New security features are being added to U.S. currency beginning with the 1996 series \$100 bills. Subsequently, similar features will be added to other U.S. denominations such as the \$50 bill, \$20 bill, etc. Some of the new security features include the incorporation into the bills of security threads that fluoresce under

ultraviolet light. For example, the security threads in the 1996 series \$100 bills emit a red glow when illuminated by ultraviolet. The color of light illuminated from security threads under ultraviolet light will vary by denomination, for example, with the \$100 notes emitting red light and the \$50 notes emitting, for example, blue light or purple light.

Additionally, the location of the thread within the bill can be used as a security feature. For example, the security threads in all \$100 bills are located in the same position. Furthermore, the location of the security threads in other denominations will be the same by denomination and will vary among several denominations. For example, the location of security threads in \$10s, \$20s, \$50, and \$100 may all be distinct. Alternatively, the location may be the same in the \$20s and the \$100s but different from the location of the security threads in the \$50s.

The ultraviolet system described above in connection with FIG. 18 may be modified to take advantage of this feature. Referring to FIG. 7, a bill 330 is shown indicating three possible locations 332a - 332c for security threads in genuine bills depending on the denomination of the bill. Fluorescent light detectors 334a - 334c are positioned over the possible acceptable locations of fluorescing security threads. In systems designed to accept bills fed in either the forward or the reverse direction, identical detectors are positioned over the same locations on each half of the bill. For example, sensors 334c are positioned a distance  $d_5$  to the left and right of the center of the bill 330. Likewise, sensors 334b are positioned a distance  $d_6$  to the left and right of the center of the bill 330 while sensors 334a are positioned a distance  $d_7$  to the left and right of the center of the bill 330. Additional sensors may be added to cover additional possible thread locations.

These sensors may be designed to detect a particular color of light depending on their location. For example, say location 332b corresponds to the location of security threads in genuine \$100 bills and location 332c corresponds to the location of security threads in genuine \$50 bills. Furthermore, if the security threads in \$100 bills emit red light under ultraviolet light excitation and the security threads in \$50 bills emit blue light under ultraviolet light excitation, then sensor 334b may be particularly designed to detect red light and sensor 334c may be designed to detect blue light. Such sensors

may employ filters which pass red and blue light, respectfully, while screening out light of other frequencies. Accordingly, for example, sensor 334b will respond to a security thread located at location 332b that emits red light under ultraviolet light excitation but not to a security thread at location 332b that emits blue light.

5           In another embodiment, one or more sensors located at a given lateral position may detect light of a plurality of wavelengths. For example, suppose the location of security threads for both the \$100 and the \$20 bills is at location 332b and suppose threads in genuine \$100 bills emit red light under ultraviolet excitation while threads in genuine \$20 bills emit green light. One or more sensors located over location 332b  
10 such as sensor 334b are then used to detect both the presence of threads at location 332b and the emitted color. Accordingly, the denomination and/or genuineness of a bill can be determined and/or authenticated.

Likewise, one or more sensors located at a plurality of lateral position may detect light of the same or different wavelengths. For example, suppose the location  
15 of security threads for \$100 bills is at location 332b and the location of security threads for \$10 bills is at location 332a and suppose threads in both genuine \$100 bills and genuine \$10 bills emit red light under ultraviolet excitation. One or more sensors located over location 332b such as sensor 334b and one or more sensors located over location 332a such as sensor 334a are then used to detect both the presence of threads  
20 at locations 332b and 332a and the emitted color. In one embodiment the sensors may be designed to detect only red light. Alternatively, the sensors may be designed to detect a plurality of colors of light and provide an indication of the color that is detected. Accordingly, the denomination and/or genuineness of a bill can be determined and/or authenticated.

25           Sensors 334a - 334c may include separate sources of ultraviolet light or one or more separate ultraviolet light sources may be provided to illuminate the bill or portions of the bill, either on the same side of the bill as the sensors or on the opposite side of the bill. These sensors may be arranged along the same axis or, alternatively, may be staggered upstream and downstream relative to each other. These sensors may  
30 be arranged all on the same side of the bill or some on one side of the bill and some on the other. Alternatively, for one or more locations 332a - 332c sensors may be placed

on both sides of the bill. This dual sided embodiment would be beneficial in detecting counterfeits made by applying an appropriate fluorescing material on the surface of a bill. Alternatively, a combination of normal lighting and ultraviolet lighting may be employed but at different times to detect for the presence of a colored line applied to the surface of a bill visible in normal lighting. According to such an embodiment, no colored thread should be detected under normal lighting and an appropriate colored thread in an appropriate position must be detected under ultraviolet lighting.

Additionally, the authentication technique described above in connection with FIGs. 18 and 19 may be employed in areas where no fluorescing security threads might be located, for example, near the center of the bill, such that the detection of fluorescent light would indicate a counterfeit bill as would the absence of a high level of reflected ultraviolet light.

Alternatively or additionally, sensors may be employed to detect bills or security threads printed or coated with thermochromatic materials (materials that change color with a change in temperature). Examples of threads incorporating thermochromatic materials are described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,465,301 incorporated herein by reference. For example, a security thread may appear in one color at ambient temperatures under transmitted light and may appear in a second color or appear colorless at or above an activation temperature or vice versa. Alternatively, bills may be printed and/or coated with such thermochromatic materials. Such bills may or may not include security threads and any included security threads may or may not also be printed or coated with thermochromatic materials. To detect for the proper characteristics of bills containing such thermochromatic materials and/or containing threads employing such thermochromatic materials, the above described embodiments may be altered to scan a bill at different temperatures. For example, a bill could first be scanned at ambient temperatures, and then be transported downstream where the temperature of the bill is raised to or above an activation temperature and scanned again at the higher temperature. For example, FIG. 7 could be modified to employ two sets of pairs of sensors 334a-c, one set downstream of the other with the downstream sensors be located in a region where the temperature is evaluated relative to the temperature of the region where the first set of sensors are located. A bill

adjacent to the first and second sets of sensors 334a-c may be illuminated either with visible light or ultraviolet light (if the thermochromatic material contains materials whose fluorescent characteristics alter with changes in temperature). Accordingly, the presence of the appropriate color or absence of color may be detected for the different  
5 temperatures and the detected information may be used to authenticate and/or denominate the bill.

Alternatively, sensors 334a - 334c may be magnetic sensors designed to detect a variety of magnetic characteristic such as those described above. For example, sensors 334a - 334c may be magnetoresistive sensors as described above.

10 The magnetic characteristics of 1996 series \$100 bills also incorporate additional security features. Referring to FIG. 8a, several areas of the bill 340 are printed using magnetic ink, such as areas A-K. Additionally, in some areas the strength of the magnetic field is stronger than it is in areas A-K. These strong areas of magnetics are indicated, for example, at 344a and 334b. Some areas, such as area 346  
15 contain magnetic ink that is more easily detected by scanning the bill along one dimension of the bill than the other. For example, a strong magnetic field is detected by scanning over area 346 in the long or wide dimension of the bill 340 and a weak field is detected by scanning area 346 in the narrow dimension of the bill 340. The remaining areas of the bill are printed with non-magnetic ink.

20 Some of these magnetic characteristics vary by denomination. For example, in FIG. 8b, in a new series \$50 note 350, areas A', B', C', E', F', G' and K' may be printed with magnetic ink and areas 354a and 354b may exhibit even stronger magnetic characteristics. Accordingly, the non-magnetic areas also vary relative to the \$100 bill.

25 The use of magnetic ink in some areas of bills of one denomination and in other areas of bills of other denominations is referred to as magnetic zone printing. Additionally, magnetics are employed as a security feature by using ink exhibiting magnetic properties in some areas and ink that does not exhibit magnetic properties in adjacent areas wherein both the ink exhibiting and the ink not exhibiting magnetic  
30 properties appear visually the same. For example, the upper left-hand numerical 100 appears visually to be printed with the same ink. Nonetheless, the "10" are printed

with ink not exhibiting magnetic properties while the last "0" is printed with ink that does exhibit magnetic properties. For example, see area F of FIG. 8a.

Examples of arrangements of magnetic sensors that may be used to detect the above described magnetic characteristics are illustrated in FIGs. 10a, 10b, and 11.

- 5 Additionally, the arrangements described above may also be employed such as those depicted in FIGs. 4, 6-10, 12, and 15. FIGs. 10a and 10b illustrate bills 360 and 361 being transported past magnetic sensors 364a-d and 366a-g in the narrow dimension of the bill. FIG. 11 illustrates bill 370 being transported past magnetic sensors 374a-c in the long dimension of the bill. FIGs. 10b and 11 illustrate a staggered arrangement of
- 10 sensors. Magnetic scanning using these sensors may be performed in a manner similar to that described above in connection with optical scanning. For example, each sensor may be used to generate a magnetically scanned pattern such as that depicted in FIG. 14. Such patterns may be compared to stored master magnetic patterns. The scanning may be performed in conjunction with timing signals provided by an encoder such as
- 15 described above in connection with optical scanning. Sensors 364, 366, and 374 may be magnetic sensors designed to detect a variety of magnetic characteristic such as those described above. These include detection of patterns of changes in magnetic flux, total amount of magnetizable material of a bill, and patterns from sensing the strength of magnetic fields along a bill. An additional type of magnetic detection
- 20 system is described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,418,458. For example, sensors 364, 366, and 374 may be magnetoresistive sensors as described above. Additionally, other types of magnetic sensors may be employed of detecting magnetic flux such as Hall effect sensors and flux gates.

- Alternatively, instead of generating scanned magnetic patterns, the presence or
- 25 absence of magnetic ink in various areas may be detected and compared the stored master information coinciding with several areas where magnetic ink is expected and not expected on genuine bills of various denominations. For example, the detection of magnetic ink at area F is expected for a \$100 bill but might not be for a \$50 bill and vice versa for area F'. See FIGs. 8a and 8b. Accordingly, the detected magnetic
- 30 information may be used to determine the denomination of a bill and/or to authenticate that a bill which has been determined to have a given denomination using a different

test, such as via a comparison of an optically scanned pattern with master optical patterns, has the magnetic properties expected for that given denomination. Timing signals provided by an encoder such as described above in connection with optical scanning may be employed in detecting magnetic characteristics of specific areas of  
5 bills.

Additionally, for magnetic properties that are the same for all bills, such as the presence or absence of magnetic ink in a given location, such as the absence of magnetic ink in area 347 in FIGs. 8a and 8b, may be used as a general test to authenticate whether a given bill has the magnetic properties associated with genuine  
10 U.S. currency.

An example of scanning specific areas for the presence or absence of magnetic ink and denominating or authenticating bills based thereon may be understood with reference to FIGs. 9a and 9b. In FIGs. 9a and 9b, areas  $M_1 - M_{15}$  are scanned for the presence or absence of magnetic ink. For a 1996 series \$100 bill as indicated in FIG.  
15 9a, magnetic ink should be present at areas  $M_2, M_3, M_5, M_7, M_{12},$  and  $M_{14}$  but not for the other areas. For a new series \$50 bill as indicated in FIG. 9b, magnetic ink might be expected at areas  $M_1, M_6, M_8, M_9,$  and  $M_{13}$  but not for the other areas. Similarly for other denominations, magnetic ink would be expected in some areas but not others. By magnetically scanning a bill at areas  $M_1 - M_{15}$  and comparing the results with  
20 master magnetic information for each of several denominations, the denomination of the scanned billed may be determined. Alternatively, where the denomination of a bill has already be determined, the authenticity of the bill can be verified by magnetically scanning the bill at areas  $M_1 - M_{15}$  and comparing the scanned information to the master information associated with the predetermined denomination. If they  
25 sufficiently match, the bill passes the authentication test.

Alternatively, magnetic sensors 364a-d, 366a-g, and 374a-c may detect the magnitude of magnetic fields at various locations of a bill and perform bill authentication or denomination based thereon. For example, the strength of magnetic fields may be detected at areas J, 344a, and 348. See FIG. 8a. In a genuine \$100 bill,  
30 no magnetic ink is present at area 348. One test to call a bill to be a \$100 bill or authenticate that a bill is a \$100 bill would be to compare the relative levels of

magnetic field strength detected at these areas. For example, a bill may be determined genuine if a greater signal is generated by scanning area 344a than area J which in turn is greater than for area 348. Alternatively, generated signals may be compared against expected ratios, for example, that the signal for area 344a is greater than 1.5 times the  
5 signal for area J. Alternatively, the signals generated by scanning various locations may be compared to reference signals associated with genuine bills for those locations.

Another denominating or authenticating technique may be understood with reference to area 346 of FIG. 8a. It will be recalled that for this area of a \$100 bill a strong magnetic signal is generated when this area is scanned in the long dimension of  
10 the bill and a weak signal is generated when this area is scanned in the narrow dimension. Accordingly, the signals generated by sensors 364 and 374 for this area can be compared to each other and/or to different threshold levels to determine whether a particular bill being scanned has these properties. This information may be then used to assist in calling the denomination of the bill or authenticating a bill whose  
15 denomination has previously been determined.

The sensors of FIGs. 10, 10a, 10b, and 11 may be embodied as separate discrete sensors. Alternatively, two or more of these sensors may be embodied in the same scanhead or array structure. For example, FIG. 10c depicts the arrangement of FIG. 10a except that sensors 364a-d are arranged in a single scanhead 365. In a like  
20 manner, the sensors of FIGs. 10, 10b, and 11 may be arranged in one or more scanheads. For example, the staggered arrangement of sensors 366 depicted in FIG. 10b may comprise two scanheads, each comprising a linear array of sensors (FIG. 10d, scanheads 367a, 367b). For example sensors 366a-d may be arranged in a first scanhead and sensors 366e-g may be arranged in a second scanhead. Other  
25 arrangements are illustrated in FIGs. 10e and 10f which include scanheads 369 and 371a and 271b. These scanheads of multiple sensors may comprise, for example, magnetoresistive sensors as described above.

FIGs. 12-34 are flowcharts illustrating several methods for using optical, magnetic, and security thread information to denominate and authenticate bills. These  
30 methods may be employed with the various characteristic information detection techniques described above including, for example, those employing visible and

ultraviolet light and magnetics including, for example, those for detecting various characteristics of security threads.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically determining the denomination of a bill. At step 500, a bill is optically scanned and an optical  
5 pattern is generated. At step 502 the scanned optical pattern is compared to one or more stored master optical patterns. One or more master optical patterns are stored for each denomination that a system employing the method of FIG. 12 is designed to discriminate. At step 504 it is determined whether as a result of the comparison of  
10 step 502 the scanned optical pattern sufficiently matches one of the stored master optical patterns. For example, the comparison of patterns may yield a correlation number for each of the stored master patterns. To sufficiently match a master pattern, it may be required that the highest correlation number be greater than a threshold value. An example of such a pattern comparison method is described in more detail in U.S. Pat. No. 5,295,196 incorporated herein by reference. If the scanned pattern does  
15 not sufficiently match one of the stored master patterns, a no call code is generated at step 506. Otherwise, if the scanned pattern does sufficiently match one of the stored master patterns, the denomination associated with the matching master optical pattern is indicated as the denomination of the scanned bill at step 508.

FIG. 13 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the  
20 denomination of a bill based on the location of a security thread. At step 510, a bill is scanned for the presence of a security thread. The presence of a security thread may be detected using a number of types of sensors such as optical sensors using transmitted and/or reflected light, magnetic sensors, and/or capacitive sensors. See, for example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,151,607 and 5,122,754. If a thread is not present as  
25 determined at step 512, a suspect code may be issued at step 514. This suspect code may indicate that no thread was detected if this level of detail is desirable. The lack of the presence of a thread resulting in a suspect code is particularly useful when all bills to be processed are expected to have a security thread therein. In other situations, the absence of a security thread may indicate that a scanned bill belongs to one or more  
30 denominations but not others. For example, assuming security threads are present in all genuine U.S. bills between \$2 and \$100 dollars, but not in genuine \$1 bills, the

absence of a security thread may be used to indicate that a scanned bill is a \$1 bill.

According to one embodiment, where it is determined that no security thread is present, a bill is preliminary indicated to be a \$1 bill. Preferably, some additional test is performed to confirm the denomination of the bill such as the performance of the

5 optical denominating methods described above in FIG. 12. The optical denominating steps may be performed before or after the thread locating test. If at step 512 it is determined that a security thread is present, the location of the detected security thread is then compared with master thread locations associated with genuine bills at step 516.

At step 518 it is determined whether as a result of the comparison at step 516 the  
10 detected thread location matches one of the stored master thread locations. If the detected thread location does not sufficiently match one of the stored master thread locations, an appropriate suspect code is generated at step 520. This suspect code may indicate that detected thread was not in an acceptable location if such information is desirable. Otherwise, if the detected thread location does sufficiently match one of the  
15 stored master thread locations, the denomination associated with the matching master thread location is indicated as the denomination of the scanned bill at step 522.

FIG. 14 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the denomination of a bill based on the fluorescent color of a security thread. For example, as described above 1996 series \$100 bills contain security threads which emit  
20 red light when illuminated with ultraviolet light. At step 524, a bill is illuminated with ultraviolet light. At step 526, the bill is scanned for the presence of a security thread and color of any fluorescent light emitted by a security thread that is present. The presence of a security thread may be detected as described above in connection with FIG. 13. Alternatively, the presence of a security thread may be detected before the  
25 bill is illuminated with ultraviolet light and scanned for fluorescent light. If a thread is not present as determined at step 528, an appropriate suspect code may be issued at step 530. The considerations discussed above in connection with FIG. 13 concerning genuine bills which do not contain security threads are applicable here as well. If at step 528 it is determined that a security thread is present, the color of any fluorescent  
30 light emitted by the detected security thread is then compared with master thread fluorescent colors associated with genuine bills at step 532. If at step 532, the detected

thread fluorescent light does not match one of the stored master thread fluorescent colors, an appropriate suspect code is generated at step 534. Otherwise, if the detected thread fluorescent color does sufficiently match one of the stored master thread fluorescent colors, the denomination associated with the matching master thread color is indicated as the denomination of the scanned bill at step 536. The sensors used to detect fluorescent light may be designed only to respond to light corresponding to an appropriate master color. This may be accomplished, for example, by employing light filters that permit only light having a frequency of a genuine color to reach a given sensor. Sensors such as those discussed in connection with FIGs. 6-7 may be employed to detect appropriate fluorescent thread colors.

According to another embodiment, the steps of FIG. 14 are employed but visible light rather than ultraviolet light is used to illuminate bills. Thus the denomination of bills is determined based on the color of security threads under visible light illumination.

FIG. 15 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in determining the denomination of a bill based on the location and fluorescent color of a security thread. FIG. 15 essentially combines the steps of FIGs. 13 and 14. At step 540, the bill is scanned for the presence, location, and fluorescent color of a security thread. The presence of a security thread may be detected as described above in connection with FIG. 13. If a thread is not present as determined at step 542, an appropriate suspect code may be issued at step 544. The considerations discussed above in connection with FIG. 13 concerning genuine bills which do not contain security threads are applicable here as well. If at step 542 it is determined that a security thread is present, the detected thread location is compared with master thread locations at step 546. If the location of the detected thread does not match a master thread location, an appropriate suspect code may be issued at step 548. If the location of the detected thread does match a master thread location, the scanned bill can be preliminary indicated to have the denomination associated with the matching thread location at step 550. Next at step 552 it is determined whether the color of any fluorescent light emitted by the detected security thread matches the master thread fluorescent color associated with a genuine bill of the denomination indicated at step 550. If at step 552,

the detected thread fluorescent light does not match the corresponding stored master thread fluorescent color for the preliminary indicated denomination, an appropriate suspect code is generated at step 554. Otherwise, if the detected thread fluorescent color does sufficiently match the stored master thread fluorescent color for the preliminary indicated denomination, at step 556 the scanned bill is indicated to be of the denomination indicated at step 550.

According to another embodiment, at step 540 visible light rather than ultraviolet light is used to illuminate bills in connection with the detection of the color of security threads. Thus at step 552 the detected color of security thread under visible light illumination is compared to master thread color information for genuine bill security threads illuminated by visible light.

While FIGs. 13-15 describe methods of evaluating a bill based on the location and color of security threads, other thread characteristics may alternatively or additionally be employed. Alternative thread-based characteristic information includes thread metal content, thread material construction, thread magnetic characteristics, and covert thread features such as thread coatings, bar codes, and microprinting. For example, the denomination of a bill may be microprinted on a security thread. These thread characteristics may be employed to authenticate and/or denominate bills and may be detected in a variety of ways such as optically or magnetically.

FIG. 16 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in magnetically determining the denomination of a bill. At step 558, a bill is magnetically scanned and one or more magnetic patterns are generated. Patterns generated may be, for example, patterns of magnetic field strength. Alternatively, instead of generating magnetically scanned patterns, a bill is magnetically scanned for the presence or absence of magnetic ink at one or more specific locations on the bill. Alternatively, instead of simply detecting whether magnetic ink is present at certain locations, the strength of magnetic fields may be measured at one or more locations on the bill. At step 560 the scanned magnetic information is compared to master magnetic information. One or more sets of master magnetic information are stored for each denomination that a system employing the methods of FIG. 16 is designed to discriminate. For example, where one or more scanned magnetic patterns are generated, such patterns are

compared to stored master magnetic patterns. Where, the presence or absence of magnetic ink is detected at various locations on a bill, this information is compared to the stored master magnetic information associated with the expected presence and absence of magnetic ink characteristics at these various locations for one or more

5 denominations of genuine bills. Alternatively, measured field strength information can be compared to master field strength information. At step 562 it is determined whether as a result of the comparison of step 560 the scanned magnetic information sufficiently matches one of sets of stored master magnetic information. For example, the comparison of patterns may yield a correlation number for each of the stored master

10 patterns. To sufficiently match a master pattern, it may be required that the highest correlation number be greater than a threshold value. An example of such a method as applied to optically generated patterns is described in more detail in U.S. Pat. No. 5,295,196 incorporated herein by reference. If the scanned magnetic information does not sufficiently match the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate suspect

15 code is generated at step 564. Otherwise, if the scanned magnetic information does sufficiently match one of the sets of stored master magnetic information, the denomination associated with the matching set of master magnetic information is indicated as the denomination of the scanned bill at step 566.

FIG. 17 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically denominating

20 a bill and authenticating the bill based on thread information. At step 568, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 568, the bill is then authenticated based on retrieved thread characteristic information such as the location and/or color of the security thread in the

25 bill at step 570. The color of threads can be that under visible light illumination and/or ultraviolet illumination. The authentication step 570 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 570, however, the

30 detected thread information such as location and/or color is only compared to master thread information such as location and/or color information associated with the

denomination determined in step 568. If the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 568 match (step 572) the detected thread information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted (at step 576) as being a bill having the denomination determined in step 568. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued  
5 at step 574.

FIG. 18 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill based on thread information such as location and/or color information and optically authenticating the bill. At step 578, a bill is denominating based on thread information such as location and/or color information, for example, according to the methods  
10 described above in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 578, the bill is then optically authenticated at step 580. The optical authentication step 580 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in  
15 connection with FIG. 12. At step 580, however, the scanned optical pattern or information is only compared to master optical pattern or patterns or information associated with the denomination determined in step 578. If the master optical pattern or patterns or information for the denomination indicated in step 578 match (step 582) the scanned optical pattern or information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted (at  
20 step 586) as being a bill having the denomination determined in step 578. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 584.

FIG. 19 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in optically denominating a bill and magnetically authenticating the bill. At step 588, a bill is optically denominating, for example, according to the methods described above in connection  
25 with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 588, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 590. The magnetic authentication step 590 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 590, however, the detected magnetic information is only compared to master magnetic information associated with the  
30 denomination determined in step 588. If the master magnetic information for the denomination indicated in step 588 matches (step 592) the detected magnetic

information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted (at step 596) as being a bill having the denomination determined in step 588. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 594.

FIG. 20 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in magnetically denominating a bill and optically authenticating the bill. At step 598, a bill is magnetically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 16. Provided the denomination of the bill is magnetically determined at step 598, the bill is then optically authenticated at step 600. The optical authentication step 600 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 12. At step 600, however, the detected optical information (or pattern) is only compared to master optical information (or pattern or patterns) associated with the denomination determined in step 598. If the master optical information for the denomination indicated in step 598 matches (step 602) the detected optical information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted (at step 606) as being a bill having the denomination determined in step 598. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 604.

FIG. 21 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill both optically and based on thread information such as location and/or color information. At step 608, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 608, the bill is then denominated based on thread information such as the location and/or color of the security thread in the bill at step 610. The denominating step 610 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 610, the denominating based on detected thread information such as location and/or color is performed independently of the results of the optical denominating step 608. At step 612, the denomination as determined optically is compared with the denomination as determined based on thread information such as location and/or color. If both optical and thread based denominating steps indicate the same denomination, the bill is accepted (at step 616) as

being a bill having the denomination determined in steps 608 and 610. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 614. Alternatively, the order of steps 608 and 610 may be reversed such that the bill is first denominated based on thread information and then optically denominated.

5           FIG. 22 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill both optically and magnetically. At step 618, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 618, the bill is then denominated magnetically at step 620, for example, according to the methods  
10 described in connection with FIG. 16. At step 620, the magnetic denominating is performed independently of the results of the optical denominating step 618. At step 622, the denomination as determined optically is compared with the denomination as determined magnetically. If both optical and magnetic denominating steps indicate the same denomination, the bill is accepted (at step 626) as being a bill having the  
15 denomination determined in steps 618 and 620. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 624. Alternatively, the order of steps 618 and 620 may be reversed such that the bill is first magnetically denominated and then optically denominated.

          FIG. 23 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill  
20 both magnetically and based on thread information. At step 628, a bill is magnetically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 16. Provided the denomination of the bill is magnetically determined at step 628, the bill is then denominated based on thread information such as the location and/or color of the security thread in the bill at step 630. The denominating step 630  
25 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 630, the denominating based on detected thread characteristic information is performed independently of the results of the magnetic denominating  
30 step 628. At step 632, the denomination as determined magnetically is compared with the denomination as determined based on thread information. If both magnetic and

thread based denominating steps indicate the same denomination, the bill is accepted (at step 636) as being a bill having the denomination determined in steps 628 and 630.

Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 634. Alternatively, the order of steps 628 and 630 may be reversed such that the bill is first denominated based on  
5 thread information and then magnetically denominated.

FIG. 24 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in denominating a bill optically, based on thread information, and magnetically. At step 638, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically  
10 determined at step 638, the bill is then denominated based on thread information such as the location and/or color of the security thread in the bill at step 640. The denominating step 640 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features,  
15 magnetic content, etc. At step 640, the denominating based on detected thread information is performed independently of the results of the optical denominating step 638. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 640, the bill is then denominated magnetically at step 642, for example, according to the methods described in connection with FIG. 16. At step 642, the magnetic denominating is  
20 performed independently of the results of the denominating steps 638 and 640. At step 644, the denominations as determined optically, magnetically, and based on thread information are compared. If all denominating steps 638-642 indicate the same denomination, the bill is accepted (at step 648) as being a bill having the denomination determined in steps 638-642. Otherwise, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step  
25 646. Alternatively, the order of steps 638 - 642 may be rearranged. For example, a bill may be first denominated optically, then be denominated magnetically, and finally be denominated based on thread information such as location and/or color. Alternatively, a bill may be first denominated magnetically, then be denominated optically, and finally be denominated based on thread information such as location  
30 and/or color. Alternatively, a bill may be first denominated magnetically, then be denominated based on thread information such as location and/or color, and finally be

denominated optically. Alternatively, a bill may be first denominated based on thread information such as location and/or color, and then be denominated magnetically, and finally be denominated optically. Alternatively, a bill may be first denominated based on thread information, and then be denominated optically, and finally be denominated magnetically.

FIG. 25 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill is authenticated, then the bill is denominated again based on the second characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 25, at step 650, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 650, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 652. The magnetic authentication step 652 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 652, however, the detected magnetic information is compared only to master magnetic information associated with the denomination determined in step 650. If the master magnetic information for the denomination indicated in step 650 does not sufficiently match (step 654) the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 656. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 658) but this time using magnetic information. If the magnetically determined denomination does not match (step 660) the optically determined denomination, an appropriate error code is issued at step 662. If the magnetically determined denomination does match (step 660) the optically determined denomination, the denomination as determined at steps 650 and 658 is indicated as the denomination of the bill under test at step 664.

The method of FIG. 25 is advantageous in providing a high degree of certainty in the determination of the denomination of a bill while shortening processing time when a bill fails an earlier test. For example, at step 650 a bill is optically denominated. If the bill can not be called as a specific denomination under the optical test, a no call code is issued such as at step 506 in FIG. 12 and the denominating/authenticating process ends with respect to the bill. If the bill is successfully optically denominated, the bill is then authenticated based on magnetic

information at step 652. Processing time is saved at this step by comparing, the scanned magnetic information for the bill under test only with master magnetic information associated with the denomination as determined optically at step 650. If the scanned magnetic information does not sufficiently match the master magnetic information for that denomination, an appropriate suspect code is issued and the denominating/authenticating process ends with respect to the bill. If the bill successfully passes the authentication step 654, the bill is then denominated using the magnetic information. Here the scanned magnetic information is compared to master magnetic information for a number of denominations. It is then determined which denomination is associated with the master magnetic information that best matches the scanned magnetic information and this denomination is compared with the optically determined denomination to verify that they agree. For example, a bill may be optically determined to be a \$100 bill. The magnetic information employed may be magnetic patterns similar to the optically generated patterns described above and in U.S. Pat. No. 5,295,196. At step 652, the scanned magnetic pattern is correlated against the master magnetic pattern or patterns associated with \$100 bills. Assume, for example, that a correlation value of at least 850 is required to pass the authentication test. If the scanned magnetic pattern yields a correlation of 860 when compared to the master magnetic pattern or patterns associated with \$100 bills, the bill then passes the authentication step 654. At this point, the bill is magnetically denominated independently of the results of the optical denominating step 650. This step ensures that the best match magnetically matches the best match optically. For example, if at step 658, the highest correlation is 860 which is associated with a \$100 bill master magnetic pattern, then the magnetic denominating and optical denominating steps both point to a \$100 bill and accordingly, the bill is indicated to be a \$100 bill at step 664. However, if the highest correlation is 900 which is associated with a \$20 bill master magnetic pattern, then the optically determined denomination and the magnetically determined denomination disagree and an appropriate error message is issued at step 662.

The method of FIG. 25 may be particularly useful in denominating and authenticating bills of higher denominations such as \$20, \$50, and \$100 bills. The

higher value of these notes may make it desirable to undertake the additional denominating steps 658-664. The method of FIG. 25 could be modified so that if a bill were determined to be a \$20, \$50, or \$100 at step 650 then the steps as indicated in FIG. 25 would be followed. However, if a bill were determined to be a \$1, \$2, \$5,  
5 or \$10 at step 650, then instead of magnetically denominating the bill at step 658, the bill could be immediately accepted such as in FIG. 19.

FIG. 26 is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominating based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill fails the authentication test, then the bill is denominating again based on the second characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 26, at  
10 step 666, a bill is optically denominating, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denominating of the bill is optically determined at step 666, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 668. The magnetic authentication step 668 may be performed, for example, according to  
15 the methods described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 668, however, the detected magnetic information is only compared to master magnetic information associated with the denominating determined in step 666. If the master magnetic information for the denominating indicated in step 666 matches (step 670) the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, the bill is indicated (at step 672) to have  
20 the denominating as determined at step 666. Otherwise, the bill is denominating again (at step 674) but this time using magnetic information. If the detected magnetic information sufficiently matches (step 676) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 678. Because the bill failed the test at step 670, if the scanned magnetic information matches any of the stored master  
25 magnetic information, the matching master magnetic information will be associated with a denominating other than the denominating determined optically at step 666. Accordingly, at step 678, the magnetically determined denominating differs from the optically determined denominating and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the optical and magnetic tests resulted in different  
30 denominating determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denominating of the bill under test. Such an error might be indicative of a situation

where the bill under test is a genuine bill that had its optical or magnetic appearance altered, for example, where a genuine \$1 bill was changed so that it appeared optically at least in part to be like a higher denomination bill such as a \$20 bill. If the detected magnetic information does not match (step 676) any of the stored master magnetic  
5 information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 680. The error code at step 680 may indicate that the scanned bill does not match magnetically any of the stored master magnetic information associated with genuine bills.

The method of FIG. 26 is advantageous in that processing time is saved where a bill is determined to be genuine after passing two tests. Furthermore, when a bill  
10 fails the test at step 670, an additional test is performed to better define the suspect qualities of a bill which is rejected.

In FIGs. 25 and 26 the first characteristic is optical information and the second characteristic is magnetic information. Alternatively, the methods of FIGs. 25 and 26 may be performed with other combinations of characteristic information wherein the  
15 first and second characteristic information comprise a variety of characteristic information as described above such as magnetic, optical, color, and thread based information. Examples of such alternatives are discussed below in connection with FIGs. 27-31. Alternatively, the methods of FIGs. 25 and 26 may be performed utilizing first characteristic information to denominate a bill, then using second  
20 characteristic information to authenticate the bill and finally denominating the bill again using third characteristic information. Again the variety of characteristic information described above such as magnetic, optical, color, and thread based information may be employed in various combinations as first, second, and third characteristic information.

25 FIG. 27 is similar to FIG. 26 and is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill fails the authentication test, then the bill is denominated again based on the second characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 27, at step 682, a bill is denominated based on thread  
30 information such as location and/or color, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally

utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 682, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 684. The magnetic authentication step 684 may be performed, for example, according to the methods  
5 described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 684, however, the detected magnetic information is only compared to master magnetic information associated with the denomination determined in step 682. If the master magnetic information for the denomination indicated in step 682 matches (step 686) the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted and indicated (at step 688) to  
10 have the denomination as determined at step 682. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 690) but this time using magnetic information. If the detected magnetic information sufficiently matches (step 692) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 696. Because the bill failed the test at step 686, if the scanned magnetic information matches any of the stored master  
15 magnetic information, the matching master magnetic information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined at step 682. Accordingly, at step 696, the magnetically determined denomination differs from the thread-based determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the thread-based and magnetic tests resulted in  
20 different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected magnetic information does not match (step 692) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 694. The error code at step 694 may indicate that the scanned bill does not match magnetically any of the stored master magnetic information  
25 associated with genuine bills.

FIG. 28 is also similar to FIG. 26 and is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill fails the authentication test, then the bill is denominated again based on the second  
30 characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 28, at step 698, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection

with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 698, the bill is then authenticated based on thread information such as location and/or color at step 700. The authentication step 700 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or  
5 additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 700, however, the detected thread information is only compared to master thread information associated with the denomination determined in step 698. If the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 698 matches (step 702) the detected thread information  
10 for the bill under test, the bill is accepted and indicated (at step 704) to have the denomination as determined at step 698. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 706) but this time using thread information such as location and/or color. If the detected thread information matches (step 708) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 712. Because the bill failed the  
15 test at step 702, if the thread-based information matches any of the stored master thread information, the matching master thread information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined at step 698. Accordingly, at step 712, the thread-based determined denomination differs from the optically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no  
20 call code indicating that the thread-based and optical tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected thread information does not match (step 708) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 710. The error code at step 710 may indicate that the thread  
25 characteristics of the scanned bill does not match any of the stored master thread information associated with genuine bills.

FIG. 29 is also similar to FIG. 26 and is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill fails the  
30 authentication test, then the bill is denominated again based on the second characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 29, at step 714, a bill is

magnetically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 16. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 714, the bill is then authenticated based on thread information such as location and/or color at step 716. The authentication step 716 may be performed, for example,

5 according to the methods described in connection with in FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 716, however, the detected thread information is only compared to master thread information associated with the denomination determined in step 714. If the master thread information for the

10 denomination indicated in step 714 matches (step 718) the detected thread information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted and indicated (at step 720) to have the denomination as determined at step 714. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 722) but this time using thread information. If the detected thread information matches (step 724) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate error

15 code is issued at step 728. Because the bill failed the test at step 718, if the thread-based information matches any of the stored master thread information, the matching master thread information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined at step 714. Accordingly, at step 728, the thread-based determined denomination differs from the magnetically determined denomination and

20 an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the thread-based and magnetic tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected thread information does not match (step 724) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 726. The error code

25 at step 726 may indicate that the thread characteristics of the scanned bill does not match any of the stored master thread information associated with genuine bills.

FIG. 30 is similar to FIG. 25 and is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill is authenticated, then the

30 bill is denominated again based on the second characteristic. According to the flowchart of FIG. 30, at step 730, a bill is magnetically denominated, for example,

according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 16. Provided the denomination of the bill is magnetically determined at step 730, the bill is then optically authenticated at step 732. The optical authentication step 732 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in

5 FIG. 12. At step 732, however, the detected optical information is only compared to master optical information associated with the denomination determined in step 730. If the master optical information for the denomination indicated in step 730 does not sufficiently match (step 734) the detected optical information for the bill under test, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 736. Otherwise, the bill is denominated

10 again (at step 738) but this time using optical information. If the optically determined denomination does not match (step 740) the magnetically determined denomination, an appropriate error code is issued at step 742. If the optically determined denomination does match (step 740) the magnetically determined denomination, the denomination as determined at steps 730 and 738 is indicated as the denomination of the bill under test

15 at step 744.

FIG. 31 is also similar to FIG. 25 and is a flowchart illustrating the steps performed in a method whereby a bill is denominated based on a first characteristic, then authenticated based on a second characteristic, and if the bill is authenticated, then the bill is denominated again based on the second characteristic. According to the

20 flowchart of FIG. 31, at step 746, a bill is denominated based on thread information such as location and/or color, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 746, the bill

25 is then optically authenticated at step 748. The optical authentication step 748 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 12. At step 748, however, the detected optical information is only compared to master optical information associated with the denomination determined in step 746. If the master optical information for the denomination indicated in step 746 does not

30 sufficiently match (step 750) the detected optical information for the bill under test, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 752. Otherwise, the bill is denominated

again (at step 754) but this time using optical information. If the optically determined denomination does not match (step 756) the thread-based determined denomination, an appropriate error code is issued at step 758. If the optically determined denomination does match (step 740) the thread-based determined denomination, the denomination as  
5 determined at steps 746 and 754 is indicated as the denomination of the bill under test at step 760. FIGs. 32 and 33 illustrate methods where for a bill to be accepted it is first denominated utilizing first characteristic information, then authenticated using second characteristic information, and finally authenticated again using third characteristic information.

10 According to the flowchart of FIG. 32, at step 762, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is optically determined at step 762, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 764. The magnetic authentication step 764 may be performed, for example, according to the methods  
15 described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 764, however, the detected magnetic information is only compared to master magnetic information associated with the denomination determined in step 762. If the master magnetic information for the denomination indicated in step 762 matches (step 766) the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, the bill is then authenticated based on thread  
20 information such as location and/or color at step 768. The authentication step 768 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 768, however, the detected thread information is only compared  
25 to master thread information associated with the denomination determined in step 762. If the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 762 matches (step 770) the detected thread information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted and indicated (at step 772) to have the denomination as determined at step 762. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 774) but this time using thread  
30 information. If the detected thread information matches (step 776) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 778. Because the

bill failed the test at step 770, if the thread-based information matches any of the stored master thread information, the matching master thread information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined at step 762.

Accordingly, at step 778, the thread-based determined denomination differs from the  
5 optically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the thread-based and optical tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected thread information does not match (step 776) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate suspect  
10 code is issued at step 780. The error code at step 780 may indicate that the thread characteristics of the scanned bill does not match any of the stored master thread information associated with genuine bills.

If at step 766 the master magnetic information for the denomination indicated in step 762 does not match the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, the  
15 bill is denominated again (at step 782) but this time using magnetic information. If the detected magnetic information sufficiently matches (step 784) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 786. Because the bill failed the test at step 766, if the scanned magnetic information matches any of the stored master magnetic information, the matching master magnetic information will be  
20 associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined optically at step 762. Accordingly, at step 786, the magnetically determined denomination differs from the optically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the optical and magnetic tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling  
25 the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected magnetic information does not match (step 784) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 788. The error code at step 788 may indicate that the scanned bill does not match magnetically any of the stored master magnetic information associated with genuine bills.

30 According to the flowchart of FIG. 33, at step 782, a bill is optically denominated, for example, according to the methods described above in connection

with FIG. 12. Provided the denomination of the bill is determined at step 782, the bill is then authenticated based on thread information such as location and/or color at step 784. The authentication step 784 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or  
5 additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 784, however, the detected thread information is only compared to master thread information associated with the denomination determined in step 782. If the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 782 matches (step 786) the detected thread information  
10 for the bill under test, the bill is then magnetically authenticated at step 788. The magnetic authentication step 788 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 16. At step 788, however, the detected magnetic information is only compared to master magnetic information associated with the denomination determined in step 782. If the master magnetic information for the  
15 denomination indicated in step 782 matches (step 790) the detected magnetic information for the bill under test, the bill is indicated (at step 791) to have the denomination as determined at step 782. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 792) but this time using magnetic information. If the detected magnetic information sufficiently matches (step 793) any of the stored master magnetic  
20 information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 794. Because the bill failed the test at step 790, if the scanned magnetic information matches any of the stored master magnetic information, the matching master magnetic information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined optically at step 782. Accordingly, at step 794, the magnetically determined denomination differs from the  
25 optically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the optical and magnetic tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected magnetic information does not match (step 793) any of the stored master magnetic information, an appropriate suspect  
30 code is issued at step 795. The error code at step 795 may indicate that the scanned

bill does not match magnetically any of the stored master magnetic information associated with genuine bills.

If at step 786 the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 782 does not match the detected thread information for the bill under test, the bill  
5 is denominated again (at step 796) but this time using thread information. If the detected thread information matches (step 797) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 798. Because the bill failed the test at step 786, if the thread-based information matches any of the stored master thread information, the matching master thread information will be associated with a  
10 denomination other than the denomination determined at step 782. Accordingly, at step 798, the thread-based determined denomination differs from the optically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the thread-based and optical tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the  
15 denomination of the bill under test. If the detected thread information does not match (step 797) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 799. The error code at step 799 may indicate that the thread characteristics of the scanned bill does not match any of the stored master thread information associated with genuine bills.

20 FIG. 34 illustrates a method where for a bill to be accepted it is first denominated utilizing first characteristic information, then authenticated using second characteristic information, then denominated using the second characteristic information, and finally authenticated using third characteristic information. According to the flowchart of FIG. 34, at step 800, a bill is magnetically denominated,  
25 for example, according to the methods described above in connection with FIG. 16. Provided the denomination of the bill is magnetically determined at step 800, the bill is then optically authenticated at step 802. The optical authentication step 802 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIG. 12. At step 802, however, the detected optical information is only compared to  
30 master optical information associated with the denomination determined in step 800. If the master optical information for the denomination indicated in step 800 does not

sufficiently match (step 804) the detected optical information for the bill under test, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 806. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 808) but this time using optical information. If the optically determined denomination does not match (step 810) the magnetically determined denomination, an appropriate error code is issued at step 812. If the optically determined denomination does match (step 810) the magnetically determined denomination, the bill is then authenticated based on thread information such as location and/or color at step 814. The authentication step 814 may be performed, for example, according to the methods described in connection with in FIGs. 13-15 and may alternatively or additionally utilize other thread characteristics described in connection therewith, e.g., covert features, magnetic content, etc. At step 814, however, the detected thread information is only compared to master thread information associated with the denomination determined in step 800. If the master thread information for the denomination indicated in step 800 matches (step 816) the detected thread information for the bill under test, the bill is accepted and indicated (at step 818) to have the denomination as determined at step 800. Otherwise, the bill is denominated again (at step 820) but this time using thread information. If the detected thread information matches (step 822) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate error code is issued at step 824. Because the bill failed the test at step 816, if the thread-based information matches any of the stored master thread information, the matching master thread information will be associated with a denomination other than the denomination determined at step 800. Accordingly, at step 824, the thread-based determined denomination differs from the magnetically determined denomination and an appropriate error code may be generated such as a no call code indicating that the thread-based and magnetic tests resulted in different denomination determinations thus preventing the discriminator from calling the denomination of the bill under test. If the detected thread information does not match (step 822) any of the stored master thread information, an appropriate suspect code is issued at step 826. The error code at step 826 may indicate that the thread characteristics of the scanned bill does not match any of the stored master thread information associated with genuine bills.

FIGs. 32-34 provide examples of combinations of characteristic information employed as first, second, and third characteristic information. Alternatively, the methods of FIGs. 32-34 may be performed with other combinations of characteristic information wherein the first, second, and third characteristic information comprise a variety of characteristic information as described above such as magnetic, optical, color, and thread based information. For example, FIG. 32 illustrates an embodiment wherein the first characteristic information is optical information (step 762), the second characteristic information is magnetic information (steps 764, 766), and the third characteristic information is thread-based information (steps 768, 774). Likewise, FIG. 33 illustrates an embodiment wherein the first characteristic information is optical information (step 782), the second characteristic information is thread-based information (steps 784, 796), and the third characteristic information is magnetic information (steps 788, 792). FIG. 34 illustrates an embodiment wherein the first characteristic information is magnetic information (step 800), the second characteristic information is optical information (steps 802, 808), and the third characteristic information is thread-based information (steps 814, 820). In alternative embodiments of the methods of FIGs. 32-34, what is used as first, second, and third characteristic information is varied. For example, the first characteristic may be magnetic, the second characteristic may be thread-based, and the third characteristic may be optical. Alternatively, the first characteristic may be thread-based, the second characteristic may be magnetic, and the third characteristic may be optical. Alternatively, the first characteristic may be thread-based, the second characteristic may be optical, and the third characteristic may be magnetic.

In general, with respect to the methods described above in connection with FIGs. 12-34, the decision whether to authenticate a bill using one or more tests and/or to denominate a bill two or more times may be based on the value of the note as determined during the initial denominating step. For example, for a bill initially determined to be a \$1 or \$2 bill using a first denominating method, it may be desirable to immediately accept the bill or perform one authentication test such as illustrated in FIGs. 12-33. For bills initially determined to be of some immediate value such as \$5 and \$10 bills, it may be desirable to perform a second denominating step and/or an

authenticating step before accepting the bill such as in FIGs. 21-23 and 25, and 30-31.

For bills initially determined to be of a high value such as \$20, \$50, and \$100 bills, it may be desirable to perform two, three, or more denominating and/or authenticating steps such as in FIGs. 24 and 32-34.

5           Likewise, it may be desirable to perform additional denominating and/or authenticating steps in unattended currency handling machines such as unattended redemption machines. Additional screening steps may be desirable with these machines that accept money directly from customers such as bank customers or casino patrons for credit to their accounts or denomination exchanges as opposed to machines  
10 employed in environments where an employee such as a bank teller or casino employee receives money from customers and then the employee processes the bills with the aid of the currency machine.

The above described embodiments of sensors and methods may be employed in currency discriminators such as, for example, those described above in connection with  
15 FIGs. 1, 2, 6-7, or the discriminator described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,295,196 incorporated herein by reference.

The issuance of an error code such as a no call code or a suspect code may be used to suspend processing of a stack of bills, for example, as described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,295,196 incorporated herein by reference. These codes may cause the operation  
20 of a single or multiple output pocket discriminator to be suspended such that the bill triggering one of these codes is the last bill delivered to an output pocket before the operation of the discriminator is suspended. Accordingly, the triggering bill may be easily examined by the operator of the discriminator so that appropriate action may be taken based on the operator's evaluation of the triggering bill. Alternatively, in a  
25 multiple output pocket discriminator such as a two output pocket discriminator, the issuance of one of these error codes may cause triggering bills to be diverted to a different output pocket such as a reject pocket. Alternatively, bills that result in a no call code may be diverted to one output pocket and those that result in a suspect code may be diverted to a different pocket. Accepted bills may be routed to one or more  
30 other output pockets.

According to an embodiment of the present invention a number of selection elements associated with individual denominations are provided. In FIG. 1, these selection elements are in the form of keys or buttons of a keypad on a control panel 61.

Other types of selection elements such as switches or displayed keys in a touch-screen environment may be employed. The control panel 61 comprises a keypad and a display section. The keypad comprises a plurality of keys including denomination selection elements associated with different currency denominations, e.g., \$1, \$2, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50, and \$100. The keypad also comprises a continuation selection element and a mode selection element. Various information such as instructions, mode selection information, authentication and discrimination information, individual denomination counter values, and total batch counter value are communicated to the operator via a display such as a LCD.

FIG. 35 is a flow chart illustrating the sequential procedure involved in the performing a sorting operation according to an embodiment of the present invention.

The operator of a currency discriminating device embodying a sorting method in accordance with the present invention selects a desired series or group of series to be off-sorted. For example, the operator may designate 1996-series \$100 bills as the desired denomination. Alternatively, the operator may designate \$100 bills that were issued prior to the 1996-series \$100 bills (old-series \$100 bills) as the desired series.

In embodiments wherein multiple series master patterns are stored for multiple denominations (e.g., new series \$100, \$50, and \$20 bills and "old" series \$100, \$50, and \$20 bills), the operator may designate all new series or all old series bills as the desired group of series of bills. Alternatively, in embodiments wherein multiple series master patterns are stored for multiple denominations, the operator may designate one or more bills as the desired group of bills based on their series and denomination (e.g., the operator may designate new series \$100, or new series \$100 and new series \$50, or old series \$100 and new series \$50 bills) as the desired series or group of series.

Alternatively, in embodiments wherein more than two series master pattern are stored for a given denomination, e.g., 1996-series \$100 bills (new series), 1980-series \$100 bills (mid-series), and 1950-series \$100 bills (old-series), one or more of the above and

one or more series of other denominations may be designated as the desired group of series.

A stack of currency to be processed is then placed in the input receptacle of the discriminator and the discriminator begins processing the bills. The discriminator  
5 determines the denomination and series of each bill in the stack. A bill whose denomination or series the discriminator is unable to determine to a requisite degree of certainty is termed a no call bill. The discriminator may also incorporate various authentication means. A bill failing one or more authentication tests is termed a suspect bill.

10 The procedure of FIG. 35 begins at subroutine step 900 and it is first determined whether the discriminator is expecting the current bill to be a bill having the desired or specified series (step 902). If the answer is no, processing proceeds to step 904 where it is determined whether the current bill is a bill of the desired series or group of series. If the answer is no, the value of the current bill is added to the total  
15 (step 906) and the subroutine is ended (step 908). If the answer is yes, the next bill is also expected to be a bill of the desired series and accordingly a flag bit is set indicating that the next bill is expected to be a bill of the desired series (step 910). Subsequently, a series change message is displayed (step 912) and a flag is set causing the discriminator to halt operation with the current bill being the last bill deposited in  
20 the output receptacle (step 914). A flag may be set to handle the processing of the first bill in the stack so that the discriminator will not halt if the first bill is of the specified series. The series change message indicates why the discriminator has stopped operating and aids in distinguishing from other reasons why the discriminator may have stopped such as the detection of a no call or suspect bill. According to one  
25 embodiment, when the discriminator flags a bill, the bill immediately upstream of the flagged bill is scanned by the discriminator before the discriminator halts and the flagged bill is the last bill output to the output receptacle. The value of the current bill is added to the total (step 906) and the subroutine is ended (step 908).

Returning to step 902, if the current bill is expected to have the desired series,  
30 i.e., the preceding bill was of the desired series, the subroutine branches to step 916 where it is determined whether the current bill indeed is of the desired series. If the

current does have the desired series, its value is added to the running total (step 906) and the subroutine ended (step 908). If at step 916 the current bill does not have the desired series, the expecting the desired series flag bit is reset (step 918), a series change message is displayed (step 912), and a flag is set causing the discriminator to

5 halt operation with the current bill being the last bill deposited in the output receptacle (step 914). The value of the current bill is added to the total (step 906) and the subroutine is ended (step 908).

For example, assume the desired off-sort series is selected to be \$100 bills that are not 1996-series \$100 bills ("old" series \$100 bills) and a stack of bills having the

10 following denominations and series is inserted into the input receptacle of a discriminator possessing an embodiment of the sorting operating mode according to the present invention: \$1 old-series, \$1 old-series, \$100 new-series, \$5 old-series, \$1 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 new-series, \$5 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 old-series, \$100 old-series. When the stack

15 is placed in the input receptacle or hopper, the discriminating device may automatically start processing the bills or alternatively may require the selection of a start key. The currency discriminator processes the first six bills, discriminates their denomination and series, totals their values, and halts with the sixth bill, i.e., the first old-series \$100 bill, being the last bill in the output receptacle. Depending on the setup of the

20 discriminator, the discriminator may halt after one or more bills upstream of the sixth bill are scanned but before they are output to the output receptacle. The operator then removes all six bills and separates the first five bills into one pile, e.g., pile A, and the sixth bill, namely, the old-series \$100 bill, into another pile, e.g., pile B. Depending on the setup of the currency discriminator, the discriminating device may continue to

25 process the remaining bills automatically when the stack of six bills is removed or may continue processing the remaining bills when a continue element is selected. The discriminator then processes the next four bills, discriminates their denomination and series, adds their values to the running total, and halts with the tenth bill, i.e., the \$100 new-series bill, being the last bill output to the output receptacle. The operator

30 may then remove all the bills from the output receptacle, placing the three old-series \$100 bills in pile B and the last new-series \$100 bill in pile A. The discriminator then

processes the next two remaining bills, discriminates their denomination and series, adds their values to the running total, and halts with the twelfth bill, i.e., the old-series \$100 bill, being the last bill output to the output receptacle. The operation then continues to proceed in the manner described above.

5           In an alternative embodiment, instead of halting the device with the flagged bill being the last bill output to the output receptacle, the device may halt with the flagged bill being at an identifiable location, e.g., the second to last bill output to the output receptacle, and the display may indicate the location of the flagged bill, e.g., "denomination changed with second to the last bill in the output bin."

10           In an alternative embodiment, bills of a designated series or group of series are separated from other bills using a series-stranger mode. Series-stranger mode is designed to accommodate a stack of bills all having the same series, such as a stack of 1996-series (or "new-series") \$100 bills. In such a mode, when a stack of bills is processed by the discriminator the denomination and series of the first bill in the stack  
15 is determined and subsequent bills are flagged if they are not of the same denomination and series. Alternatively, the discriminator may be designed to permit the operator to designate the series or the series and denomination against which bills will be evaluated with those of a different series or a different series or denomination being flagged. For example, where a group of new and old series master patterns are stored for a  
20 number of denominations (e.g., new series \$100, \$50, and \$20, and old series \$1, \$2, \$5, \$10, \$20, \$50, and \$100 master patterns), either all new series bills or all old series bills may be designated. For example, if old series bills are designated, all new series bills, regardless of denomination will be treated as stranger bills. Alternatively, a combination or series and denominations may be designated so that all old series  
25 \$20s, \$50s, and \$100s will be flagged as stranger bills but all other bills are treated as non-stranger bills.

          Assuming the first bill in a stack determines the relevant denomination and assuming the first bill is a new-series \$100 bill, then provided all the bills in the stack are new-series \$100 bills, the display of the discriminating device will indicate the  
30 aggregate value of the bills in the stack and/or the number of new-series \$100 bills in the stack. However, if a bill other than a new-series \$100 is included in the stack, the

discriminator will stop operating with the non-new-series \$100 bill or "stranger bill" being the last bill deposited in the output receptacle. The stranger bill may then be removed from the output receptacle and the discriminator is started again by depression of a "Continuation" key. An unidentified but otherwise acceptable new-series \$100 bill may be handled by depressing a \$100 denomination selection element, or alternatively, the unidentified but otherwise acceptable new-series \$100 bill may be removed from the output receptacle and placed into the input hopper to be re-scanned. Upon the completion of processing the entire stack, the display will indicate the aggregate value of the new-series \$100 bills in the stack and/or the number of new-series \$100 bills in the stack. All bills of than new-series \$100 bills will have been set aside and will not be included in the totals. Alternatively, these stranger bills can be included in the totals via operator selection choices. For example, if a \$5 stranger bill is detected and flagged in a stack of new-series \$100 bills, the operator may be prompted via the display as to whether the \$5 bill should be incorporated into the running totals. If the operator responds positively, the \$5 bill is incorporated into appropriate running totals, otherwise it is not. Alternatively, a set-up selection may be chosen whereby all stranger bills are automatically incorporated into appropriate running totals.

While the invention is susceptible to various modifications and alternative forms, specific embodiments thereof have been shown by way of example in the drawings and herein described in detail. It should be understood, however, that it is not intended to limit the invention to the particular forms disclosed, but on the contrary, the intention is to cover all modifications, equivalents, and alternatives falling within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A method of denominating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency denominating device comprising the steps of:
  - 5 detecting the presence and location of a security thread in a currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;  
denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by comparing the location of said detected security thread to master thread location
  - 10 information stored by said currency denominating device.
2. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of:
  - detecting the color of said security thread in a currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;  
authenticating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by
  - 15 comparing the color of said detected security thread to stored master thread color information associated with the denomination determined by said denominating step.
3. A method of denominating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency denominating device comprising the steps of:
  - 20 detecting the presence and color of a security thread in a currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;  
denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by comparing the color of said detected security thread to master thread color information
  - 25 stored by said currency denominating device.
4. The method of claim 3 wherein said step of detecting the color of any security threads comprises the step of illuminating said bill with visible light.
5. The method of claim 3 wherein said step of detecting the color of any security threads comprises the step of illuminating said bill with ultraviolet light and detecting
- 30 the color of any fluorescent light emitted from said security thread.

6. A method of denominating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device comprising the steps of:  
detecting the magnetic zone printing pattern characteristics of a currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;
- 5 denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by comparing the detected magnetic zone printing pattern characteristics to master magnetic zone printing pattern characteristics stored by said currency denominating device.
- 10 7. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device comprising the steps of:  
optically scanning a bill under the control of a currency denominating device to detect optical characteristics of said bill;
- 15 denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by comparing the detected optical characteristics to master optical characteristics stored by said currency denominating device;  
detecting security thread characteristic information from currency bill under the
- 20 control of a currency denominating device;  
authenticating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by comparing the detected security thread characteristic information to stored master thread characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said denominating step.
- 25 8. The method of claim 7 wherein said thread characteristic information comprises thread location information.
9. The method of claim 7 wherein said thread characteristic information comprises thread color information.
10. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to
- 30 one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device comprising the steps of:

detecting security thread characteristic information from currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;

denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by

- 5 comparing the detected security thread characteristic information to stored master thread characteristic information;

optically scanning a bill under the control of a currency denominating device to detect optical characteristics of said bill;

authenticating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by

- 10 comparing the detected optical characteristics to master optical characteristics stored by said currency denominating device associated with the denomination determined by said denominating step.

11. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device

- 15 comprising the steps of:

detecting magnetic characteristic information from currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;

denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by

- 20 comparing the detected magnetic characteristic information to stored master magnetic characteristic information;

optically scanning a bill under the control of a currency denominating device to detect optical characteristics of said bill;

authenticating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by

- 25 comparing the detected optical characteristics to master optical characteristics stored by said currency denominating device associated with the denomination determined by said denominating step.

12. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device

- 30 comprising the steps of:

optically scanning a bill under the control of a currency denominating device to detect optical characteristics of said bill;

optically denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by  
5 comparing the detected optical characteristics to master optical characteristics stored by said currency denominating device;

detecting security thread characteristic information from currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;

denominating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by  
10 comparing the detected security thread characteristic information to stored master thread characteristic information;

accepting said bill if the denomination determined optically agrees with the denomination determined by comparing thread characteristic information; and

otherwise, rejecting said bill if the denomination determined optically does not  
15 agree with the denomination determined by comparing thread characteristic information.

13. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using a currency evaluation device comprising the steps of:

20 magnetically scanning a bill under the control of a currency denominating device to detect magnetic characteristics of said bill;

magnetically denominating said currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations under the control of said currency denominating device by comparing the detected magnetic characteristics to master magnetic characteristics  
25 stored by said currency denominating device;

detecting security thread characteristic information from currency bill under the control of a currency denominating device;

denominating said currency bill under the control of said currency device by comparing the detected security thread characteristic information to stored master  
30 thread characteristic information;

accepting said bill if the denomination determined magnetically agrees with the denomination determined by comparing thread characteristic information; and

otherwise, rejecting said bill if the denomination determined magnetically does not agree with the denomination determined by comparing thread characteristic

5 information.

14. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations comprising the steps of:

retrieving first, second, and third characteristic information from a currency bill;

10 denominating said currency bill a first time as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using first characteristic information, wherein said retrieved first characteristic information is compared to master first characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations;

denominating said currency bill a second time as belonging to one of a plurality  
15 of recognizable denominations using second characteristic information, wherein said retrieved second characteristic information is compared to master second characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations;

denominating said currency bill a third time as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using third characteristic information, wherein said  
20 retrieved third characteristic information is compared to master third characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations;

accepting said bill if the denominations as determined during said three denominating steps all agree; and

rejecting said bill if the denominations as determined during said three  
25 denominating steps do not all agree.

15. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations comprising the steps of:

retrieving first and second characteristic information from a currency bill;

denominating said currency bill a first time as belonging to one of a plurality of  
30 recognizable denominations using first characteristic information, wherein said retrieved first characteristic information is compared to master first characteristic

information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations;  
authenticating said currency bill by comparing said retrieved second  
characteristic information to master second characteristic information associated only  
with the denomination determined by said first denominating step; and

5        rejecting said bill if said retrieved second characteristic information does not  
sufficiently match said master second characteristic information associated with the  
denomination determined by said first denominating step;

denominating said bill a second time if said retrieved second characteristic  
information sufficiently matches said master characteristic information associated with  
10    the denomination determined by said first denominating step, wherein said second  
denominating step is performed by comparing said retrieved second characteristic  
information to master second characteristic information associated with each of said  
plurality of recognizable denominations and determining the denomination of said  
currency bill to be the denomination associated with the master second characteristic  
15    information which most closely agrees with said retrieved second characteristic  
information.

16.    The method of claim 15 further comprising the steps of:

accepting said bill if the denomination as determined during said second  
denominating step matches the denomination as determined during said first  
20    denominating step; and

rejecting said bill if the denomination as determined during said second  
denominating step does not match the denomination as determined during said first  
denominating step.

17.    The method of claim 15 further comprising the steps of:

25        rejecting said bill if the denomination as determined during said second  
denominating step does not match the denomination as determined during said first  
denominating step;

retrieving third characteristic information from a currency bill;

if the denomination as determined during said second denominating step  
30    matches the denomination as determined during said first denominating step then:

authenticating said currency bill by comparing said retrieved third characteristic information to master third characteristic information associated only with the denomination determined by said first denominating step; and

5 rejecting said bill if said retrieved third characteristic information does not sufficiently match said master third characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step;

denominating said bill a third time if said retrieved third characteristic information sufficiently matches said master characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step, wherein said third denominating step is performed by comparing said retrieved third characteristic information to master third characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations and determining the denomination of said currency bill to be the denomination associated with the master third characteristic information which most closely agrees with said retrieved second characteristic information;

15 accepting said bill if the denomination as determined during said third denominating step matches the denomination as determined during said first denominating step; and

20 rejecting said bill if the denomination as determined during said third denominating step does not match the denomination as determined during said first denominating step.

18. A method of denominating and authenticating a currency bill as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations comprising the steps of:

retrieving first and second characteristic information from a currency bill;

25 denominating said currency bill a first time as belonging to one of a plurality of recognizable denominations using first characteristic information, wherein said retrieved first characteristic information is compared to master first characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations;

authenticating said currency bill by comparing said retrieved second characteristic information to master second characteristic information associated only with the denomination determined by said first denominating step; and

30

if said retrieved second characteristic information does not sufficiently match said master second characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step then:

denominating said bill a second time if said retrieved second  
5 characteristic information does not sufficiently match said master characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step, wherein said second denominating step is performed by comparing said retrieved second characteristic information to master second characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable  
10 denominations and determining the denomination of said currency bill to be the denomination associated with the master second characteristic information which most closely agrees with said retrieved second characteristic information; and  
rejecting said bill.

15 19. The method of claim 18 further comprising the step of:  
accepting said bill if said retrieved second characteristic information sufficiently matches said master second characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step;

20 20. The method of claim 18 further comprising the steps of:  
retrieving third characteristic information from a currency bill;  
wherein if said retrieved second characteristic information sufficiently matches said master second characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step:

25 authenticating said currency bill by comparing said retrieved third characteristic information to master third characteristic information associated only with the denomination determined by said first denominating step; and  
accepting said bill if said retrieved third characteristic information sufficiently matches said master third characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step;  
30 otherwise:

denominating said bill a third time if said retrieved third characteristic information does not sufficiently matches said master characteristic information associated with the denomination determined by said first denominating step, wherein said third denominating step is performed by comparing said retrieved third characteristic information to master third characteristic information associated with each of said plurality of recognizable denominations and determining the denomination of said currency bill to be the denomination associated with the master third characteristic information which most closely agrees with said retrieved second characteristic information and rejecting said bill.

21. A currency discriminating apparatus comprising:  
an input receptacle for receiving a stack of currency bills, each of said bills having a denomination associated therewith;  
a transport mechanism for transporting said bills, one at a time, past a discriminating unit to at least one output receptacle;  
said discriminating unit discriminating the denomination of said currency bills, said discriminating unit comprising a plurality of magnetoresistive sensors.
22. The currency discriminating apparatus of claim 21 wherein plurality of magnetoresistive sensors are arranged in an array.
23. The currency discriminating apparatus of claim 22 wherein plurality of magnetoresistive sensors are arranged in a linear array.
24. A currency discriminating apparatus comprising:  
an input receptacle for receiving a stack of currency bills, each of said bills having a denomination and series associated therewith;  
a transport mechanism for transporting said bills, one at a time, past a discriminating unit to at least one output receptacle;  
said discriminating unit discriminating the series of said currency bills.
25. A method of sorting currency of a specific series using a device capable of discriminating the denomination and series of currency bills comprising the steps of:

receiving a stack of bills in an input receptacle, each bill having a denomination and series associated therewith;

transporting said bills, one at a time, past a series discriminating unit to at least one output receptacle;

5 discriminating the series of each bill; and  
sorting said bills according to their series.

26. A method of off-sorting currency of a specific series using a device capable of discriminating the denomination and series of currency bills comprising the steps of:

receiving a stack of bills in an input receptacle;

10 transporting said bills, one at a time, past a denomination and series  
discriminating unit to an output receptacle;

discriminating the denomination and series of each bill;

determining whether the series of a current bill is a specified series; and either

(1) halting operation of the device when said current bill does have said


15 specified series and an immediately preceding bill does not have said specified series;

(2) halting operation of the device when said current bill does not have said

specified series and said immediately preceding bill does have said specified series; or

(3) continuing operation of the device.

1/29

**FIG. 1**

2/29




FIG. 2a








FIG. 2b


3/29




4/29



*FIG. 6a*




*FIG. 6b*




*FIG. 6c*


5/29

FIG. 7

6/29



**FIG. 8a**



**FIG. 8b**

7/29




FIG. 9a






FIG. 9b

8/29






FIG. 10c









FIG. 11





11/29


***FIG. 10f***

12/29



*FIG. 12**FIG. 16*





14/29

*FIG. 15*



15/29

*FIG. 17**FIG. 18*



16/29




17/29




18/29

*FIG. 23**FIG. 24*


19/29

***FIG. 25***


20/29

*FIG. 26*


21/29

***FIG. 27***


22/29

*FIG. 28*


23/29


*FIG. 29*


24/29


*FIG. 30*

25/29


***FIG. 31***

**FIG. 32**

**FIG. 33**



29/29

*FIG. 35*

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/02301

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G07D 7/00

US CL : 194/207

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : Please See Extra Sheet.

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X ----- Y	WO, A, 87/06041 (Rothfjell) 08 October 1987 page 9, line 20 to page 10, line 3 and page 11, lines 6-21.	1-4,7-10, 12 ----- 5,11,13
Y	US, A, 5,304,813 (DeMan) 19 April 1994 col. 4, lines 42-61.	5
X ----- Y	US, A, 4,442,541 (Finkel et al) 10 April 1984 col. 4, line 26 to col. 5, line 29.	6,14-20 ----- 11, 13
Y	US, A, 5,358,088 (Barnes et al) 25 October 1994 col. 8 lines 5-53.	21-23

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	* T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
* A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	* X	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
* E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	* Y	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
* L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	* A	document member of the same patent family
* O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
* P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

11 APRIL 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

30 APR 1997

 Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Box PCT  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

F. J. BARTUSKA

Telephone No. (703) 308-1111

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/02301

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y,E	US, A, 5,607,040 (Mathurin) 04 March 1997 col. 9, line 64 to col. 10, line 50.	24-26
Y	US, A, 5,295,196 (Rateman et al) 15 March 1994 col. 5, lines 49-63.	21-26

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/02301

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched

Classification System: U.S.

194/207

194/206,207; 209/534; 250/556;

356/071; 382/135